

REMARKS

Art Rejections

Claims 1-15, 17-19, 24-26 and 29 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. Section 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ottesen et al. (USPN 5,787,292), hereinafter referred to as Ottesen, in view of Lee (USPN 6,341,354).

Claims 16, 20-22, and 27-28 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. Section 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ottesen et al. (USPN 5,787,292) and Lee (USPN 6,341,354) in view of Nookala et al. (USPN 5,860,016) , hereinafter referred to as Nookala.

Claims 1-6, 17-19, 24, and 28 have been cancelled.

Independent claims 7, 20, 25, 27, and 29 have been amended.

Claim 7 as amended recites:

“A method for managing power consumption in a computer system,
comprising:

placing said computer system in power management mode;

requesting removing power from a memory device coupled to said computer
system;

allocating at least a portion of a second memory in said computer system;

removing power from said memory device; and

directing access intended for said memory device to said second memory
while power is removed from said memory device;

wherein said memory device and said second memory each comprises a plurality
of addressable locations, and wherein for each address location in said
second memory, there are a plurality of corresponding address locations in
said memory device.”

Ottesen, Lee, and Nookala, neither singly nor in any combination teach or suggest the limitations:

“said memory device and said second memory each comprises a plurality of addressable locations, and wherein for each address location in said second memory, there are a plurality of corresponding address locations in said memory device”.

Therefore, for at least the reasons stated above, claim 7 and its dependents are non-obvious and patentably distinguished over Ottesen and Lee.

Claim 25 and 29 have also been amended to include limitations similar to the amended limitations of claim 7. Therefore, for at least the reasons stated above in support of claim 7, claim 25 and its dependent claim and claim 29 are non-obvious and patentably distinguished over Ottesen and Lee.

Claims 20 and 27 have also been amended to include limitations similar to the amended limitations of claim 7. Therefore, for at least the reasons stated above in support of claim 7, claim 20 and its dependents and claim 27 are non-obvious and patentably distinguished over Ottesen, Lee, and Nookala.

CONCLUSION

Applicant submits the application is in condition for allowance, and an early notice to that effect is requested.

If any extensions of time (under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136) are necessary to prevent the above referenced application(s) from becoming abandoned, Applicant(s) hereby petition for such extensions. If any fees are due, the Commissioner is authorized to charge said fees to Meyertons, Hood, Kivlin, Kowert & Goetzel PC Deposit Account No. 50-1505/5681-71400/JCH.

Also enclosed herewith are the following items:

- ☒ Return Receipt Postcard
- ☐ Request for Approval of Drawing Changes
- ☒ Notice of Change of Address
- ☐ Check in the amount of \$ for fees ().
- ☐ Other:

Respectfully submitted,



Mark K. Brightwell
Reg. No. 47,446
AGENT FOR APPLICANT(S)

Meyertons, Hood, Kivlin, Kowert & Goetzel PC
P.O. Box 398
Austin, TX 78767-0398
Phone: (512) 853-8800
Date: September 02, 2004 MKB/jwc